

# THE DECISION made by SASPRO2 Programme Appeal Committee

The SASPRO2 Programme Appeal Committee assembling of Prof. PhDr. Darina Malová, PhD., Prof. RNDr. Jozef Masarik, DrSc., doc. Ing. Maximilián Strémy, PhD., Prof. PhDr. Matúš Porubjak, PhD. and JUDr. Juraj Varga, PhD., being as appealing body versus decision having been made by SASPRO2 Programme Evaluation Committee (hereinafter refers as 'the decision'), upon the base of an appeal filed by the applicant **application number 1362/03/01** (hereinafter refers as ",the proceeding participant"), delivered on 22 June 2022, has investigated the impugned decision to its full extent and a relevant documentation and has decided within the prescribed deadline as follows:

## The decision is being confirmed and the appeal has been rejected

### Justification:

### I. Factual circumstances resulting from the basis for the decision

- 1. On June 22, 2022, the proceeding participant filed to the Slovak Academy of Sciences an appeal against the decision made by the SASPRO2 Programme Evaluation Committee, which rejected the participant's application for the SASPRO2 fellowship programme being implemented as part of the Horizon 2020 Marie Sklodowska Curie Actions COFUND programme.
- 2. Within the frame of the evaluation process, the participant's project was evaluated with a result of 4.27 points out of a possible 5 points. With respect to the achieved project evaluation, the proceeding participant did not request a review of the impugned decision. The aim of his submission was to express interest in accepting the given project, if the conditions of the SASPRO 2 Programme would allow it.
- 3. The Appeal Committee understands that the proceeding participant does not object to the progress and evalution result of the project. It follows from the filed appeal that the proceeding party did not specify the reasons for the appeal, nor did he state any other objections to the evaluation. With respect to the above, it was not possible to review the filed appeal. The Appeal Committee is aware of the participant's interest in research activities within the SASPRO 2 Programme, however, the Committee is not competent to decide wether to accept or reject applicants.

### **II.** Conclusion

With respect of the above, the Appeal Committee has decided as being stated in the statement of this decision.

Štefánikova 49, 814 38 Bratislava, Slovak republic

This decision was adopted by the Appeal Committee by a vote ratio of 4:1 / for : against.

#### **III.** Advice of remedies

There is no admissible remedy against the present decision.

Bratislava dated 1 July 2022



**JUDr. Juraj Varga, PhD.** The Chairperson of the Committee

Sent to:

-